# Influence of different shade levels on photosynthetically active radiation, stomatal conductance and chlorophyll content in mint (*Mentha* spp.) types

M. Palanikumar<sup>\*1</sup>, P.C. Jessykutty<sup>2</sup>, K. Sundharaiya<sup>3</sup>, P. Arul Arasu<sup>3</sup> and G. Sathish<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Spices and Plantation Crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plantation crops and Spices, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvanathapuram, Kerala- 695 522, India. <sup>3</sup>Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, India.

## Abstract

Fifteen accessions of Japanese mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.), pepper mint (*Mentha piperita* L.) and spear mint (*Mentha spicata* L.) were evaluated under different shade conditions (Open, 25 and 50 per cent shade). Japanese mint recorded higher Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) and pepper mint recorded increased Stomatal Conductance (Cs) under 25 per cent shade condition (162.57  $\mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 2.57  $\mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> respectively). Under all the shades (open, 25 and 50 per cent), spear mint recorded higher Chlorophyll 'a' (0.601, 0.575 and 0.671 mg g<sup>-1</sup> respectively) and chlorophyll 'b' (0.684, 0.764 and 0.790 mg g<sup>-1</sup> respectively) contents. Accession S2A4 recorded an increased Stomatal Conductance (Cs) under open condition (10.96  $\mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).

Key words: chlorophyll content, different shades, photosynthetically active radiation, stomatal conductance

## INTRODUCTION

Mint (*Mentha* spp.) a unique medicinal herb, is widely cultivated for its medicinal and aromatic leaves. It is a creeping stem but grows obliquely upwards to give rise to a leaf shoot. Growth and development of the plants are influenced by the amount of incident solar radiation as the light energy is the main input for the photosynthetic process in green plants (Zelitch, 1971). This paper describes the influence of different shade levels on photosynthetically active radiation and related parameters of mint (*Mentha* spp.).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala during 2003-2004, South India. The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replications. Details of fifteen accessions of mint (Mentha spp.) collected for the study are presented in table 1. Rooted cuttings were planted at 10 cm spacing along the ridges. High Density Poly Ethylene (HDPE) shade nets of appropriate mesh size (25 and 50 per cent) were used for providing the required shade of 25 and 50 per cent. The three species were also grown in the adjacent open area with 100 per cent light area. The observations on Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) and Stomatal Conductance (Cs) were recorded using the Steady State Porometer (T) at 120 days after planting (DAP) and expressed as  $\mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Chlorophyll contents (a and b) were estimated at 120 DAP by the method prescribed by Stranes and Hardy (1965).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performance of different mint species in each shade condition

Chlorophyll 'a' content and stomatal conductance of the three species differed significantly when raised under open condition while no such significant difference could be observed in PAR values (Tables 1-4). Mentha spicata (S3) and Mentha arvensis (S1) recorded higher chlorophyll content and Mentha piperita (S2) recorded higher stomatal conductance under open condition (Table 3). Under 25 per cent shade higher PAR was recorded for M. arvensis (S1) while stomatal conductance was higher in *M. piperita* (S2) in similar open condition. Further, significant differences were observed in the chlorophyll "a" and "b" contents among the species under this shade condition (Tables 4 and 5). Similar findings were reported for Littorella uniflora by Attridge (2004), who found that the shade level when increased to 50 per cent to result in a slight change in the physiology. The highest PAR was recorded for M. piperita (S2) while stomatal conductance was more for *M. arvensis* (S1). The species S3 (*M. spicata*) recorded an increased content of chlorophyll fraction in open conditions.

General effect of shade on performance of mint

The PAR showed significant variation under different shade levels. The highest PAR on the leaf surface was recorded in plants grown under open condition and the values decreased with increasing shade levels. The lowest value was recorded under 50 per cent shade condition (Table 2). The photosynthetic characteristics of plants are greatly influenced by the light condition under which they are grown. The leaves absorb the light

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author email: mpkscientist@yahoo.co.in

SI. No	Accession number	Date of collection	Source/Place of collection in India (from different parts of the State of Tamil Nadu, India)	
1	S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	01.08.2003	Horticultural Research station, Ooty	
2	$S_1A_2$	13.08.2003	Vilpatty, Kodaikanal	
3	$S_1A_3$	16.08.2003	Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai	
4	$S_1A_4$	06.09.2003	Horticultural Research Station, Yercaud	
5	$S_1A_5$	29.08.2003	Thrissur	
6	$S_2A_1$	01.08.2003	Horticultural Research Station, Ooty	
7	$S_2A_2$	13.08.2003	Poomparai, Kodaikkanal	
8	$S_2A_3$	22.08.2003	Karipatty, Salem	
9	$S_2A_4$	31.08.2003	Valparai, Coimbatore	
10	$S_2A_5$	06.09.2003	Thrissur	
11	$S_3A_1$	15.08.2003	Seelayampatty, Theni	
12	$S_3A_2$	16.08.2003	Kallupatty, Madurai	
13	$S_3A_3$	05.09.2003	Vayalur, Trichy	
14	$S_3A_4$	13.09.2003	Pullarai, Tenkasi	
15	$S_3A_5$	20.09.2003	Thevaram, Cumbum	

## Table 1. Details of mint accessions collected for the study

Table 2. Mean photosynthetically active radiation ( $\mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) of mint accessions under different shade levels at 120 days after planting

Associan number		Mean of		
Accession number	0	25	50	accessions
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	965.50	688.33	118.16	590.67
$S_1A_2$	1337.16	717.33	140.16	731.56
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	959.33	708.36	163.83	610.51
$S_1A_4$	1033.83	745.33	136.50	638.56
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	957.66	709.66	157.33	608.22
Mean (S <sub>1</sub> )	1050.70	713.81	143.20	
$S_2A_1$	987.00	513.66	199.46	566.71
$S_2A_2$	1026.83	568.33	208.16	601.11
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	1012.66	585.00	193.50	597.06
$S_2A_4$	942.83	515.50	190.66	549.67
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	1000.16	524.33	206.16	576.89
Mean (S₂)	993.90	541.37	199.59	
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	950.46	515.66	124.33	530.16
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	948.00	485.83	174.50	536.11
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	1034.50	517.50	128.83	560.28
$S_3A_4$	956.66	498.66	224.83	560.06
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	1041.33	526.66	160.33	576.11
Mean (S₃)	986.19	508.87	162.57	
Mean (Shade levels)	1010.26	588.01	168.45	
S.E.	89.97	31.91	17.69	
CD (Species)	NS	41.337	22.912	
Pooled analysis				
CD Accessions NS				
Shades			67.1	161*
Shade x accession			NS	

NS – Non Significant; \*significant at 5% level

Associan number					
Accession number	0	25	50	Mean of accessions	
S1A1	5.56	4.48	2.60	4.22	
S1A2	5.50	5.33	2.61	4.48	
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	5.27	4.29	2.65	4.07	
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	5.39	4.85	2.42	4.22	
S1A5	5.17	4.11	2.58	3.95	
Mean (S1)	5.38	4.61	2.57		
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	9.73	6.78	2.52	6.34	
$S_2A_2$	10.76	7.23	2.41	6.80	
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	9.20	7.26	2.47	6.31	
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	10.96	6.89	2.46	6.77	
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	10.47	7.33	2.50	6.77	
Mean (S₂)	10.22	7.10	2.47		
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	2.97	2.32	1.79	2.36	
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	3.26	2.12	1.85	2.41	
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	3.10	1.74	1.86	2.53	
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	2.81	2.00	1.92	2.25	
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	2.79	1.10	1.80	2.19	
Mean (S₃)	3.17	2.04	1.84		
Mean (Shade levels)	6.26	4.58	2.30		
S.E.	0.45	0.28	0.08		
CD (Species)	0.579	0.356	0.110		
Pooled analysis					
CD Accessions	D Accessions 2.437*			2.437*	
Shades			1.090*		
Shade x accession				4.221*	

Table 3. Mean stomatal conductance (µ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) of mint accessions under different shade levels at 120 days after planting

\* significant at 5% level

Table 4. Mean chlorophyll 'a' (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) content of mint accessions under different shade levels at 120 days after planting

Associan Number	Shade levels			Mean of
Accession Number	0%	25%	50%	accessions
S1A1	0.579	0.533	0.466	0.526
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	0.579	0.655	0.486	0.573
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	0.481	0.632	0.424	0.512
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	0.477	0.508	0.408	0.464
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	0.500	0.512	0.396	0.469
Mean (S1)	0.523	0.568	0.436	
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	0.355	0.588	0.717	0.553
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	0.366	0.587	0.719	0.557
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	0.375	0.593	0.588	0.518
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	0.381	0.574	0.609	0.521
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	0.379	0.499	0.521	0.467
Mean (S <sub>2</sub> )	0.371	0.568	0.631	
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	0.374	0.707	0.698	0.593
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	0.470	0.592	0.718	0.593
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	0.704	0.466	0.714	0.628
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	0.724	0.516	0.610	0.617
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	0.732	0.597	0.614	0.648
Mean (S <sub>3</sub> )	0.601	0.575	0.671	
Mean (Shade levels)	0.498	0.570	0.579	
S.E.	0.09	0.07	0.09	
CD (Species)	0.117	NS	0.113	
Pooled analysis				
CD Accessions 2.4			37*	
Shades 1.0			1.09	90*
Shade x accession 4.			21*	

\* significant at 5% level

Table 5. Mean chlorophyll 'b' (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) content of mint accessions under different shade levels at 120 days after planting

Accession number		Mean of		
Accession number	0%	25%	50%	accessions
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	0.509	0.573	0.641	0.574
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	0.494	0.580	0.671	0.582
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	0.552	0.628	0.530	0.570
S1A4	0.534	0.619	0.529	0.561
S <sub>1</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	0.547	0.662	0.509	0.573
Mean (S1)	0.528	0.612	0.576	
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	0.365	0.763	0.779	0.635
$S_2A_2$	0.360	0.671	0.806	0.613
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	0.374	0.728	0.831	0.644
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	0.377	0.699	0.805	0.627
S <sub>2</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	0.360	0.723	0.665	0.582
Mean (S₂)	0.367	0.717	0.777	
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	0.381	0.883	0.744	0.669
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	0635	0.888	0.778	0.767
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	0.792	0.650	0.874	0.772
$S_3A_4$	0.829	0.636	0.774	0.746
S <sub>3</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	0.784	0.762	0.782	0.776
Mean (S₃)	0.684	0.764	0.790	
Mean (Shade levels)	0.526	0.697	0.714	
S.E.	0.14	0.13	0.14	
CD (Species)	0.185	NS	0.178	
Pooled analysis				
CD Accessions NS				
Shades 0.155*			55*	
Shade x accession			NS	<u> </u>

## NS - Non Significant; \*significant at 5% level

in the range of 400-700 nm wave bands preferentially and the PAR incident on the plants under shaded condition might be substantially lower than that under full sunlight (Singh *et al.*, 2004). A tendency of the plants to decrease the stomatal conductance with increase in shade levels was observed (Table 3). The change in stomatal frequency and hence the higher stomatal pore area per unit area of leaf is positively correlated with higher stomatal conductance as observed by Forseth *et al.* (2004). This is further supported by the findings of Attridge (2004) who reported that the chlorophyll 'b' content was higher under shade conditions. The present study recorded the same pattern (Table 5).

### Comparison of accessions between shade levels

The accession, S2A4 recorded higher stomatal conductance (10.96  $\mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) under open condition (Table 3). This is in confirmity with the findings of Jifon and Syvestsen (2004) who reported a three fold increase in stomatal conductance in *Atriplex* leaves grown at high light intensity than the leaves grown at low light intensity.

It is concluded that the mint sp. could be successfully grown under shaded conditions of up to 25% of the normal light situation with insignificant decline in the chosen physiological parameters.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Senior author expresses his sincere thanks to the Dean, Associate Professor and Head, Department of

Plantation Crops and Spices, College of Agriculture, Kerala Agriculture University, Vellayani, Thiruvanathapuram, Kerala for his valuable suggestions and constant support during the research work.

### REFERENCES

- Attridge, M. 2004. Photosynthetic characteristics and pigment content and composition in *Littorella uniflora* L. in a depth gradient. *Pl. Cell Env.*, 32 : 307-320.
- Forseth, P., Jarvis, P.G. and Jarvis, M.S. 2004. Resistances to carbondioxide and water vapour transfer in leaves of different plant species. *Agric. For. Met.*, 18: 553 -571.
- Jifon, J.L. and Syrestsen, J.P. 2004. Effect of light intensity during growth of *Atriplex patula* on the capacity of photosynthetic reactions, chloroplast components and structure. *Carnegie Inst. Washington Yearb* 21: 115-135.
- Singh, S., Matt, D.R., Hutchinson, B.A. and McMillen, R.T. 2004. Solar radiation within an oak-hickory forest: an evaluation of the extinction coefficients for several radiation components during fully leafed and leafless periods. *Agric. For. Met.*, 32: 307-322.
- Starnes, W.J. and Hadley, H.H. 1965. Chlorophyll content of various strains of soybeans. *Crop Sci.*, 25: 259-260.
- Zelitch, I. 1971. *Photosynthesis, Photorespiration and Plant Productivity*. Academic Press, New York.